arXiv:1403.2627v1 [math.FA] 11 Mar 2014

ESTIMATES FOR PERIODIC EIGENVALUES OF THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR $(-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$ WITH V – DISTRIBUTION

VOLODYMYR MOLYBOGA

Abstract. The periodic eigenvalue problem for the differential operator $(-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$ is studied for complex-valued distribution V in the Sobolev space $H^{-m\alpha}_{per}[-1,1]$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$). In paticular, the case $m = 1$ was investigated in paper [2] by the same method. The following result is shown:

The periodic spectrum consists of a sequence $(\lambda_k)_{k\ge 0}$ of complex eigenvalues satisfying the asymptotics (for any $\varepsilon > 0$)

$$\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n} = n^{2m}\pi^{2m} + \hat{V}(0) \pm \sqrt{\hat{V}(-2n)\hat{V}(2n)} + o(n^{m(2\alpha-1+\varepsilon)}),$$

where $\hat{V}(k)$ denote the Fourier coefficients of V.

1. introduction

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the eigenvalue problem on the interval $[-1,1]$,

$$(-1)^m \frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} y + Vy = \lambda y,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and V is a complex-valued distribution in the Sobolev space $H^{-m\alpha}_{per} \equiv H^{-m\alpha}_{per}[-1,1]$ with $0 \le \alpha \le 1$,

$$H^{-m\alpha}_{per} := \left\{ f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik\pi x} \;\Big|\; \| f \|_{H^{-m\alpha}_{per}} < \infty \right\},$$

where, with $< k >:= 1 + | k |$,

$$\| f \|_{H^{-m\alpha}_{per}} := \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} < k >^{-2m\alpha} | \hat{f}(k) |^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Similarly, as for $m = 1$ and potentials V in $L^2_{\mathbb{C}}[-1,1]$ [4], it turn out that the spectrum $spec(L_m)$ of the differential operator $L_m := (-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$ with periodic boundary conditions is discrete and consists of a sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(V)$ ($k \ge 0$) with the property that $Re\,\lambda_k \to +\infty$ for $k \to \infty$. Hence, the eigenvalues λ_k are enumerated with their algebraic multiplicities and ordered so that

$$Re\,\lambda_k < Re\,\lambda_{k+1} \qquad or \qquad Re\,\lambda_k = Re\,\lambda_{k+1} \qquad and \qquad Im\,\lambda_k \le Im\,\lambda_{k+1}.$$

Introduce, for $n \ge 1$

$$\tau_{mn} := \frac{\lambda_{2n} + \lambda_{2n-1}}{2}; \qquad \gamma_{mn} := \lambda_{2n} - \lambda_{2n-1}$$

and denote by $h^s \equiv h^s(\mathbb{N};\mathbb{C})$ the weighted l^2-sequence spaces ($s \in \mathbb{R}$)

$$h^s := \{ x = (x_n)_{n\ge 1} \mid \| x \|_s < \infty \},$$

where

$$\| x \|_s := \left(\sum_{n\ge 1} < n >^{2s} | x_n |^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, uniformly for bounded sets of distributions V in $H^{-m\alpha}_{per}[-1,1]$, the following asymptotic estimates hold:*

(i) $(\tau_{mn} - n^{2m}\pi^{2m} - \hat{V}(0))_{n\ge 1} \in h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}$

(ii) $$\left(\min_{\pm} \left| \gamma_{mn} \pm 2\sqrt{\hat{V}(-2n)\hat{V}(2n)} \right| \right)_{n\ge 1} \in \begin{cases} h^{m(1/2-\alpha)} & if \quad 0 \le \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; \\ h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)} & if \quad \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

Key words and phrases. Differential operators, periodic conditions, estimates for eigenvalues.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, one obtains

Corollary 1.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \beta < \alpha < 1$. Assume that the distribution V in $H^{-m\alpha}_{per}[-1,1]$ is of period 1 and real valued (i.e. $\hat{V}(2k+1) = 0$, $\hat{V}(-k) = \overline{\hat{V}(k)}$ $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Then $V \in H^{-m\beta}_{per}[-1,1]$ iff $(\gamma_{mn})_{n\ge 1} \in h^{-m\beta}$.*

The notation used is standard. Given a Banach space E, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(E)$ the Banach space of linear bounded operators on E. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the space $H^s_{per} \equiv H^s_{per}[-1,1]$ denotes the Sobolev space

$$H^s_{per} := \left\{ f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik\pi x} \;\Big|\; \| f \|_{H^s_{per}} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\| f \|_{H^s_{per}} := \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} < k >^{2s} | \hat{f}(k) |^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The Fourier coefficient $\hat{f}(k)$ are defined by the formula

$$\hat{f}(k) := < f, e^{ik\pi x} >,$$

where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ denotes the sesquilinear pairing between H^s_{per} and H^{-s}_{per} extending the L^2-inner product

$$< g, h > := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} g(x)\overline{h(x)}\, dx, \quad g, h \in L^2[-1,1].$$

The following weighted l^2-spaces will be used: For any $K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, denote by $h^{s,n} \equiv h^{s,n}(K;\mathbb{C})$ (will be consider in Appendix below) the Hilbert space of sequences $(a(j))_{j\in K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with inner product $< a, b >_{s,n} := \sum_{j\in K} < j+n >^{2s} a(j)\overline{b(j)}$. The norm of $a := (a(j))_{j\in K}$ in $h^{s,n}$ is denoted by $\| a \|_{h^{s,n}}$. For n=0, we simply write h^s instead of $h^{s,0}$. To shorten notation, it is convenient to denote by $h^s(n)$ the n-th element of a sequence $a := (a(j))_{j\in K}$ in h^s. Further we denote by $h^s_0 \equiv h^s_0(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{C})$ the subspace of $h^s(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$h^s_0 := \{ (a(j))_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid a(0) = 0 \}.$$

Notice that adding a constant to a distribution V shifts the spectrum of $(-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$ by the same constant. Throughout a remainder of the paper we therefore assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\hat{V}(0) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

2. periodic spectrum of $(-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $V \in H^{-m\alpha}_{per}$ $(0 \le \alpha \le 1)$, denote by L_m the differential operator $(-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$ on $H^{-m\alpha}_{per}$ with domain $H^{m(2-\alpha)}_{per}$. It is convinient to deal with the Fourier transform $\hat{L}_m$ of L_m. It is of the form $\hat{L}_m = D_m + B$, where D_m and B are infinite matrices,

$$D_m(k,j) := k^{2m}\pi^{2m}\delta_{kj}, \qquad B(k,j) := v(k-j),$$

where $v(k) := \hat{V}(k)$ being a sequence in $h^{-m\alpha}_0 \equiv h^{-m\alpha}_0(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{C})$ by assumption (1.1). By the convolution lemma (see Appendix), $Ba = v * a$ is well defined for $a \in h^{m(2-\alpha)}$ and hence $D_m + B$ is an operator on $h^{-m\alpha}$ with domain $h^{m(2-\alpha)}$. We want to compare the spectrum $spec(D_m + B)$ of the operator $D_m + B$ with the spectrum of D_m, $spec\ (D_m) = \{ k^{2m}\pi^{2m} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \}$. For this purpose write for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash spec(D_m)$,

$$\lambda - D_m - B = D^{1/2}_{m\lambda}(I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})D^{1/2}_{m\lambda},$$

where $D^{1/2}_{m\lambda}$, $I_{m\lambda}$ and $S_{m\lambda}$ are the following infinite matrices $(k, j \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$D_{m\lambda}(k,j) := |\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}|\delta_{kj}; \qquad I_{m\lambda}(k,j) := \frac{\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}}{|\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}|}\delta_{kj};$$

$$S_{m\lambda}(k,j) := \frac{v(k-j)}{|\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}|^{1/2}|\lambda - j^{2m}\pi^{2m}|^{1/2}}.$$

Notice that $D^{1/2}_{m\lambda}$ and $I_{m\lambda}$ are diagonal matrices independent on v. Both $I_{m\lambda}$ and $S_{m\lambda}$ can be viewed as linear operators on h^0. It is clearly that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash spec(D_m)$ with $\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} < 1$, then it is in the resolvent set $Resol(v)$ of $D_m + B$, and for such a λ,

$$(\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1} = D^{-1/2}_{m\lambda}(I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})^{-1}D^{-1/2}_{m\lambda}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the right side of (2.1) is viewed as a composition

$$h^{-m\alpha} \to h^0 \to h^0 \to h^m \ (\hookrightarrow h^{-m\alpha}).$$

For a suitable choice of parameters, the following regions of $\mathbb{C}$ will turn out to be in $Resol(v)$: Given $M > 0$, denote by Ext_M the exterior domain of a cone,

$$Ext_M := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid Re\,\lambda \leq |Im\,\lambda| - M\},$$

and, for $n \geq 1$ and $0 < r < n^m\pi^{2m}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), by $Vert_n^m(r)$ a vertical strip with a disk around $n^{2m}\pi^{2m}$ of radius r removed,

$$Vert_n^m(r) := \{\lambda = n^{2m}\pi^{2m} + z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |Re\,z| \leq n^m\pi^{2m};\ |z| \geq r\}.$$

In a straightforward way one can prove

Lemma 2.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $M \geq 1$, and $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$. Then, for any $\lambda \in Ext_M$,*

$$\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq 2^{2m+1}\,\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}}\,\frac{1}{M^{(1-\alpha)/2+1/4}}.$$

Proof. We estimate the $\mathcal{L}(h^0)$-norm of $S_{m\lambda}$ by its Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Using $< k-j >^{2m\alpha} \leq 4^m(< k >^{2m\alpha} + < j >^{2m\alpha})$ $(k, j \in \mathbb{Z})$ together with the trigonometric estimate $|\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}| \geq (M + k^{2m}\pi^{2m})\sin(\frac{\pi}{4})$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in Ext_M)$, one concludes

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} &\leq \left(\sum_{k,j} \frac{|v(k-j)|^2}{|\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}||\lambda - j^{2m}\pi^{2m}|}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left(2\sum_{k,j} \frac{4^m(< k >^{2m\alpha} + < j >^{2m\alpha})}{(M + k^{2m}\pi^{2m})(M + j^{2m}\pi^{2m})} < k-j >^{-2m\alpha} |v(k-j)|^2\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left(4^{m+1} \sup_k \frac{< k >^{2m\alpha}}{(M + k^{2m}\pi^{2m})} \sum_k \frac{1}{(M + k^{2m}\pi^{2m})} \sum_j < k-j >^{-2m\alpha} |v(k-j)|^2\right)^{1/2} \\
&= 2^{m+1}\left(\sup_k \frac{< k >^{2m\alpha}}{(M + k^{2m}\pi^{2m})}\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{(M + k^{2m}\pi^{2m})}\right)^{1/2} \|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For $\lambda \in Vert_n^m(r)$, the following estimate for $\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)}$ can be obtained:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $n \geq \frac{(8m-4)m}{8m-7}$, $0 < r < n^m\pi^{2m}$, and $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$. Then, for any $\lambda \in Vert_n^m(r)$,*

$$\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(|v(2n)| + |v(-2n)|\right) + 4\left[\frac{2}{\pi}\right]^m \left(\frac{n^{m(\alpha-1+1/2m)}}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{6\log n}{n^{m(1-\alpha)}}\right)\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}}.$$

To prove Lemma 2.2, one uses that ($\lambda \in Vert_n^m(r)$, $n \geq \frac{(8m-4)m}{8m-7}$, $k \neq \pm n$)

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}|} \leq \frac{3}{\pi^{2m}}\frac{1}{|k^{2m} - n^{2m}|} \tag{2.2}$$

together with the following elementary estimates

Lemma 2.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $n \geq m$. Then*

(a) $\sup_{k\neq\pm n} \frac{<k>^{m\alpha}}{|k^{2m}-n^{2m}|^{1/2}} \leq 3^{m\alpha} n^{m(\alpha-1+\frac{1}{2m})}$;

(b) $\sup_{k\neq\pm n} \frac{<k\pm n>^{m\alpha}}{|k^{2m}-n^{2m}|^{1/2}} \leq 4^{m\alpha} n^{m(\alpha-1+\frac{1}{2m})}$;

(c) $\sum_{k\neq\pm n} \frac{1}{|k^{2m}-n^{2m}|^{1/2}} \leq 5\frac{1+\log n}{n}$.

Lemma 2.2 together with

$$\left(|v(2n)| + |v(-2n)|\right) \leq 3^m\sqrt{2}\,\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} n^{m\alpha}$$

leads to

$$\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq \frac{3^m\sqrt{2}n^{m\alpha}\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}}}{r} + 4\left[\frac{2}{\pi}\right]^m \left(\frac{n^{m(\alpha-1+1/2m)}}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{6\log n}{n^{m(1-\alpha)}}\right)\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}}.$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.1 one obtains

Proposition 2.4. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $\mathrm{R} > 0$, $\mathrm{C} > 2$, and $r_n := 3^m\sqrt{2}\mathrm{C}\mathrm{R}n^{m\alpha}$ $(n \ge 1)$. Then there exist $\mathrm{M} \ge 1$ and $n_0 \ge \frac{(8m-4)m}{8m-7}$ with $0 < r_n < n^m\pi^{2m}$ $\forall n \ge n_0$ so that, for any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \le \mathrm{R}$*

$$\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} < 1 \quad \textit{for} \quad \lambda \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{M}} \cup \bigcup_{n\ge n_0} Vert_n^m(r_n).$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{M}} \cup \bigcup_{n\ge n_0} Vert_n^m(r_n) \subseteq \mathrm{Resol}\,(v).$$

In view of the formula (1.1) and the fact that the imclusion $h^m \hookrightarrow h^{-m\alpha}$ is compact, the operator $D_m + B$ has a compact resolvent. Hence $spec(D_m + B)$ consists of an (at most) countable set of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity, contained in the complement of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{M}} \cup \bigcup_{n\ge n_0} Vert_n^m(r_n)$, where C, M, n_0, r_n and v are given as in Proposition 2.4. To localize this eigenvalues notice that for any $0 \le s \le 1$

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{M}} \cup \bigcup_{n\ge n_0} Vert_n^m(r_n) \subseteq Resol(sv).$$

Hence, for any contour $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{M}} \cup \bigcup_{n\ge n_0} Vert_n^m(r_n)$ and any $0 \le s \le 1$, the Riesz projector

$$P(s) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma (\lambda - D_m - sB)^{-1}\,d\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(h^{-m\alpha})$$

is well defined and the dimension of its range is independent of s. Therefore the number of eigenvalues of $D_m + B$ and D_m inside Γ (counted with their algebraic multiplicities) are the same.

Further, if the sequence $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ satisfies the condition $v(-k) = \overline{v(k)}$ $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the eigenvalues of the operator $D_m + B$ are real. This is shown in a standard fashion by taking the h^0-inner product of the eigenvalue equation with the corresponding eigenvector. Summarizing the result above, we obtain the following

Proposition 2.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $\mathrm{C} > 2$, and $\mathrm{R} > 0$. Then there exist $\mathrm{M} \ge 1$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that, for any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \le \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{spec}(D_m + B)$ consists of precisely $2n_0 - 1$ eigenvalues inside the bounded cone*

$$\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid\ |Im\,\lambda| - M \le Re\,\lambda \le (n_0^{2m} - n_0^m)\pi^{2m}\right\},$$

and a sequence of pairs of eigenvalues λ_n^+, λ_n^- $(n \ge n_0)$ inside a disc around $n^{2m}\pi^{2m}$,

$$|\lambda_n^\pm - n^{2m}\pi^{2m}| < 3^m\sqrt{2}\mathrm{C}\mathrm{R}n^{m\alpha}.$$

If, an addition, v satisfies $v(-k) = \overline{v(k)}$ $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then all eigenvalues are real.

List the eigenvalues $\lambda_0,\ \lambda_1,\ \lambda_2,\ \ldots$ of $(D_m + B)$ (counted with their algebraic multiplicities) in such a way that

$$Re\,\lambda_j < Re\,\lambda_{j+1} \qquad or \qquad Re\,\lambda_j = Re\,\lambda_{j+1} \qquad and \qquad Im\,\lambda_j \le Im\,\lambda_{j+1}.$$

Then, by Proposition 2.5, for n large $\{\lambda_{2n-1},\ \lambda_{2n}\} = \{\lambda_n^+,\ \lambda_n^-\}$ satisfy

$$\lambda_n^\pm = n^{2m}\pi^{2m} + O(n^{m\alpha}).$$

One can prove that the following statement is volid.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $\mathrm{R} > 0$, $\mathrm{C} > 2$, $\mathrm{M}_0 \ge 1$, $n_0 \ge \frac{(8m-4)m}{8m-7}$. Then for any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \le \mathrm{R}$ the following statementes hold:*

(a) $\mathrm{M}_0^{(1-\alpha)/2+1/4} > 2^{2m+2}\mathrm{R} \Longrightarrow \|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} < \dfrac{1}{2}$ *for* $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{M}}$, $\forall M \ge M_0$;

(b) $n_0^{m(1-\alpha)/2} > \dfrac{4\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}-2}\left[\dfrac{2}{\pi}\right]^m\left(\dfrac{2^{m-1/4}}{3^{m/2}\mathrm{C}^{1/2}\mathrm{R}^{1/2}n^{m(1-1/m)/2}} + \dfrac{12}{m(1-\alpha)}\right) \Longrightarrow \|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} < \dfrac{1}{2}$
for $\lambda \in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{r}_\mathrm{n})$, $\forall n \ge n_0$.

In the following section we improve on asymptotics $\lambda_n^\pm = n^{2m}\pi^{2m} + O(n^{m\alpha})$. For this purpose we will consider vertical strips $\mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{r}_\mathrm{n})$ with a circle of radius $r = n^m$ around $n^{2m}\pi^{2m}$ removed, and the following estimate for the operators $S_{m\lambda}$ will be useful:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $C = C(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ such that, for any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$*

$$\left\|\left(\sup_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{r}_\mathrm{n})}\|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)}\right)_{n\ge1}\right\|_{h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}} \le C\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}}.$$

Proof. For any given $n \geq 1$, split the operator $S_{m\lambda}$, $S_{m\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{6} I_{A_n^{(j)}} S_{m\lambda}$, where $I_A : \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the characteristic function of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ and $A^{(j)} \equiv A_n^{(j)}$ is the following decomposition of $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$

$$A^{(1)} := \{(k,j) \mid k,j \in \{\pm n\}\}; \qquad A^{(2)} := \{(k,j) \mid k,j \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{\pm n\}\};$$
$$A^{(3)} := \{(k,j) \mid k = n, j \neq \pm n\}; \qquad A^{(4)} := \{(k,j) \mid k = -n, j \neq \pm n\};$$
$$A^{(5)} := \{(k,j) \mid k \neq \pm n, j = n\}; \qquad A^{(6)} := \{(k,j) \mid k \neq \pm n, j = -n\}.$$

Then

$$\sup_{\lambda\in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{m}})} \|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{6} \sup_{\lambda\in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{m}})} \|I_{A_n^{(j)}} S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)}$$

and each term in the latter sum is treated separately.

As $v(0) = 0$, we have, for any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{m}})$

$$\|I_{A_n^{(1)}} S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq \left(\sum_{(k,j)=\pm(n,-n)} \frac{|v(k-j)|^2}{|\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}||\lambda - j^{2m}\pi^{2m}|} \right)^{1/2}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{n^m} \left(|v(2n)|^2 + |v(-2n)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The operators $I_{A_n^{(j)}} S_{m\lambda}$ for $3 \leq j \leq 6$ are estimated similar. Let us consider e.g. the case $j = 3$. For non-negative sequences $a, b, c \in h^0$ with $a(n) = 0\ \forall n \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_n a(n) < n >^{m(1-\alpha)} \sup_{\lambda\in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{m}})} \left| \sum_k \left(I_{A_n^{(3)}} S_{m\lambda} b \right)(k) \cdot c(k) \right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{n\geq 1} \sum_{k=n, j\neq\pm n} a(n) < n >^{m(1-\alpha)} \sup_{\lambda\in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{m}})} \frac{|v(k-j)|}{|\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m}|^{1/2}|\lambda - j^{2m}\pi^{2m}|^{1/2}}\, b(j)\, c(k)$$
$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi^m} \sup_{n\geq 1, j\neq\pm n} \frac{< n >^{m(1-\alpha)} < n-j >^{m\alpha}}{n^{m/2}|j^{2m} - n^{2m}|^{1/2}} \sum_{n\geq 1, j\neq\pm n} \frac{|v(n-j)|}{< n-j >^{m\alpha}}\, a(n)\, b(j)\, c(n),$$

where for the last inequality we use (2.1).

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the following estimate obtained from Lemma 2.3(b)

$$\sup_{n\geq 1, j\neq\pm n} \frac{< n >^{m(1-\alpha)} < n-j >^{m\alpha}}{n^{m/2}|j^{2m} - n^{2m}|^{1/2}} \leq 4^m n^{(-1/2+1/2m)}$$

one gets

$$\sum_n a(n) < n >^{m(1-\alpha)} \sup_{\lambda\in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{m}})} \left| \sum_k \left(I_{A_n^{(3)}} S_{m\lambda} b \right)(k) \cdot c(k) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{4^m\sqrt{3}}{\pi^m} \|v\|_{h^0} \|a\|_{h^0} \|b\|_{h^0} \|c\|_{h^0}.$$

It remains to estimate $\|I_{A_n^{(2)}} S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)}$. For $a,\ b,\ c$ in h^0 as above, and any $\varepsilon > 0$ (w.l.o.g. we assume $1 - \alpha - \varepsilon \geq 0$), one obtains in the same fashion as above

$$\sum_n a(n) < n >^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)} \sup_{\lambda\in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{m}})} \left| \sum_k \left(I_{A_n^{(2)}} S_{m\lambda} b \right)(k) \cdot c(k) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{3}{\pi^{2m}} \sum_{n\geq 1, k, j\neq\pm n} R_m(n,k,j) \frac{|v(k-j)|}{< k-j >^{m\alpha}}\, a(n)\, b(j)\, c(k),$$

where

$$R_m(n,k,j) := \frac{< n >^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)} < k-j >^{m\alpha}}{|k^{2m} - n^{2m}|^{1/2}|j^{2m} - n^{2m}|^{1/2}}.$$

The latter sum is estimated using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. To estimate $R_m(n,k,j)$, we split up $A_n^{(2)} = \{(k,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid k,j \neq \pm n\}$. First notice that, as $R_m(n,k,j)$ is symmetric in k and j, it suffices to consider the

case $|j|\le|k|$. Then, using $<k-j>^{m\alpha}\le 2^{m\alpha}<k>^{m\alpha}$ and $<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}\le 2^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}$,

$$R_m(n,k,j)\le 2^m\frac{<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<k>^{m\alpha}}{|k^{2m}-n^{2m}|^{1/2}|j^{2m}-n^{2m}|^{1/2}}.$$

For the subsets $A_n^{(\pm,\pm)}\cap\{|j|\le|k|\le 2n\}$ of $A_n^{(2)}$,

$$A_n^{(\pm,\pm)}:=\{(k,j)\in A_n^{(2)}\mid \pm k\ge 0;\ \pm j\ge 0\},$$

we argue similarly. Consider e.g. $A_n^{(-,+)}$. Then $|k|+n=|k-n|$ and $|j|+n=|j+n|$, hence

$$<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<k>^{m\alpha}\le <n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}(1+2n)^{m\alpha}\le 3^{m\alpha}n^{m(1-\varepsilon)}:$$

1) $m=2l+1,\ l\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<k>^{m\alpha}&\le 3^{m\alpha}n^{m(1-\varepsilon)}\le 3^{m\alpha}|k^m-n^m|^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}|j^m+n^m|^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}\\&\le 3^{m\alpha}|k^m-n^m|^{1/2}|k^m+n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2}|j^m+n^m|^{1/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2},\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$R_m(n,k,j)\le 6^m|k^m+n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2};$$

2) $m=2l,\ l\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<k>^{m\alpha}&\le 3^{m\alpha}n^{m(1-\varepsilon)}\le 3^{m\alpha}|k^m+n^m|^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}|j^m+n^m|^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}\\&\le 3^{m\alpha}|k^m+n^m|^{1/2}|k^m-n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2}|j^m+n^m|^{1/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2},\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$R_m(n,k,j)\le 6^m|k^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}.$$

Therefore

$$R_m(n,k,j)\le 6^m|(-1)^{m+1}k^m+n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality one then gets

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n\ge1}\sum_{k,j\ne\pm n}|(-1)^{m+1}k^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}\frac{|v(k-j)|}{<k-j>^{m\alpha}}\,a(n)\,b(j)\,c(k)\\&\le\left(\sum_{n\ge1}a^2(n)\sum_{j\ne\pm n}|j^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}\sum_{k\ne\pm n}<k-j>^{-2m\alpha}|v(k-j)|^2\right)^{1/2}\\&\le C\,\|v\|_{h^0}\,\|a\|_{h^0}\,\|b\|_{h^0}\,\|c\|_{h^0}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Next consider the subsets $A_n^{(\pm,\pm)}\cap\{|j|\le|k|;\ |k|>2n\}$ of $A_n^{(2)}$. Again we argue similarly for each of these subsets. Consider e.g. $A_n^{(+,+)}$. In the case $0\le\alpha<1/2$, choose w.l.o.g. $\varepsilon>0$ with $\frac12-\alpha-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\ge0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<k>^{m\alpha}&\le 2^{m\alpha}n^{m(1-\varepsilon)/2}n^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)/2}|k|^{m\alpha}\\&\le 2^{m\alpha}|j^m+n^m|^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}|k^m+n^m|^{(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)/2}|k^m+n^m|^{\alpha}\\&\le 2^{m\alpha}|k^m-n^m|^{1/2}|k^m+n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2}|j^m+n^m|^{1/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2}\end{aligned}$$

and we gets

$$R_m(n,k,j)\le 4^m|k^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}$$

and thus obtain estimate of the type (2.3).

In the case $\frac12\le\alpha<1$, since $n\le|k+n|$,

$$<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<k>^{m\alpha}\le 2^{m\alpha}|k^m+n^m|^{(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}|k|^{m\alpha}$$

so using that $<k>^{m(\alpha-1/2)}\le|k^m+n^m|^{(\alpha-1/2)}$ and $<k>^{m/2)}\le 3^{m/2}|k^m-n^m|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}<n>^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}<k>^{m\alpha}&\le 2^{m\alpha}|k^m+n^m|^{(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}|k|^{m\alpha}\\&\le 2^{m\alpha}|k^m+n^m|^{(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}3^{m/2}|k^m-n^m|^{1/2}|k^m+n^m|^{(\alpha-1/2)}\\&\le(2\sqrt3)^m|k^{2m}-n^{2m}|^{1/2}|k^m+n^m|^{-\varepsilon}\\&\le(2\sqrt3)^m|k^{2m}-n^{2m}|^{1/2}|j^m-n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2}|j^m+n^m|^{-\varepsilon/2},\end{aligned}$$

where we use that $|j^m \pm n^m| \leq |k^m + n^m|$. This yields

$$R_m(n,k,j) \leq 8^m |j^m + n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} |j^m - n^m|^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}$$

and therefore we again obtain an estimate of the type (2.3). □

For later reference, let us denote for given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $R > 0$, by $n_* = n_*(\alpha, R) \geq 1$ a number with the property that, for any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \leq \mathrm{R}$,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Vert}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{r_n})} \|S_{m\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \qquad \forall n \geq n_*. \tag{2.4}$$

3. Asymptotics of periodic eigenvalues

In this section we establish asymptotic estimates for the eigenvalues λ_n. They are obtained by separately considering the mean τ_{mn} and the difference γ_{mn} of a λ_{2n} and λ_{2n-1},

$$\tau_{mn} := \frac{\lambda_{2n} + \lambda_{2n-1}}{2}; \qquad \gamma_{mn} := \lambda_{2n} - \lambda_{2n-1}.$$

3.1. Asymptotic Estimates of τ_{mn}.

In this subsection we establish

Proposition 3.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, uniformly for bounded sets of distributions v in $h_0^{-m\alpha}$,*

$$\tau_{mn} = n^{2m}\pi^{2m} + h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n).$$

The statement of Proposition 3.1 is a consequence of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 below. Let $R > 0$ and $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \leq R$. For $n \geq n_* = n_*(\alpha, R)$ with n_* chosen as in (2.4), define the Riesz projectors

$$P_n := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1}\, d\lambda,$$
$$P_n^0 := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - D_m)^{-1}\, d\lambda,$$

where Γ_n is the positively oriented contour given by $\Gamma_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m}| = n^m\}$. The corresponding Riesz spaces are the ranges of these projectors [1]

$$E_{mn} := P_n(h^{-m\alpha}); \qquad E_{mn}^0 := P_n^0(h^{-m\alpha}).$$

Both E_{mn} and E_{mn}^0 are two dimensional subspaces of h^0, and P_n as well as $(D_m + B)P_n$ can be considered as operators from $\mathcal{L}(h^0)$. Their traces can be computed to be

$$Tr(P_n) = 2; \qquad Tr((D_m + B)P_n) = 2\tau_{mn}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$Tr(P_n^0) = 2; \qquad Tr(D_m P_n^0) = 2n^{2m}\pi^{2m}$$

and thus obtain

$$2\tau_{mn} - 2n^{2m}\pi^{2m} = Tr((D_m + B)P_n) - Tr(D_m P_n^0) = Tr(Q_{mn}),$$

where Q_{mn} is the operator

$$Q_{mn} := (D_m + B - n^{2m}\pi^{2m})P_n - (D_m - n^{2m}\pi^{2m})P_n^0.$$

Substituting the formula for P_n and P_n^0 one gets

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{mn} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m}) \left((\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1} - (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} \right) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m})(\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1} B (\lambda - D_m)^{-1}\, d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Write $Q_{mn} = Q_{mn}^0 + Q_{mn}^1$ with

$$Q_{mn}^0 := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m})(\lambda - D_m)^{-1} B (\lambda - D_m)^{-1}\, d\lambda,$$

which leads to the following expression for τ_{mn},

$$\tau_{mn} = n^{2m}\pi^{2m} + \frac{1}{2} Tr(Q_{mn}^0) + \frac{1}{2} Tr(Q_{mn}^1).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ (with $0 \le \alpha < 1$), $n \ge 1$, and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$Q^0_{mn}(k,l) = \begin{cases} v(\pm 2n) & \text{if} \quad (k,l) = \pm(n,-n); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q^0_{mn}(k,l) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m})(\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m})^{-1} v(k-l)(\lambda - l^{2m}\pi^{2m})^{-1}\, d\lambda \\ &= v(k-l)\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m}}{(\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m})(\lambda - l^{2m}\pi^{2m})}\, d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and the claimed statement follows from

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m}}{(\lambda - k^{2m}\pi^{2m})(\lambda - l^{2m}\pi^{2m})}\, d\lambda = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad (k,l) \in \{\pm n\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$Tr(Q^0_{mn}) = 0.$$

Moreover, $range(Q^0_{mn}) \subseteq E^0_{mn}$, so since $range\ (Q_{mn}) \subseteq span(E_{mn} \cup E^0_{mn})$ we conclude that $range(Q^1_{mn}) \subseteq span(E_{mn} \cup E^0_{mn})$ as well. Hence $range(Q^1_{mn})$ is at most dimension four and

$$|Tr(Q^1_{mn})| \le 4 \|Q^1_{mn}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $C = C(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ so that, for any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \le R$,*

$$\left\| \left(\|Q^1_{mn}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \right)_{n \ge n_*} \right\|_{h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}} \le C \|v\|^2_{h^{-m\alpha}},$$

where $n_* = n_*(\alpha, R)$ is given by (2.4).

Proof. By (2.1), for any $\lambda \in \Gamma_n$, $(\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1}$ is given by $D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}(I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})^{-1} D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q^1_{mn} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m}) \left((\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1} - (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} B (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} \right) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - n^{2m}\pi^{2m}) D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2} (I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})^{-1} S_{m\lambda} I_{m\lambda}^{-1} S_{m\lambda} I_{m\lambda}^{-1} D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}\, d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2) one shows that, for $\lambda \in Vert^m_n(r)$ ($n \ge \frac{(8m-4)m}{8m-7}$, $0 < r < n^m \pi^{2m}$),

$$\|D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \le r^{-1/2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi^m} n^{-m+1/2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Together with Lemma 2.7 the claimed statement then follows. □

3.2. **Asymptotic Estimates of γ_{mn}.** To state the asymptotic of γ_{mn}, introduce, for $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$, the sequence $w := \frac{1}{\pi^{2m}} \frac{v}{k^m} * \frac{v}{k^m}$. Notice that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$w(2n) = \frac{1}{\pi^{2m}} \sum_{k \ne \pm n} \frac{v(n-k)}{(n-k)^m} \cdot \frac{v(n+k)}{(n+k)^m}.$$

As $\frac{v}{k^m} \in h_0^{m(-\alpha+1)}$, the convolution lemma says that $w \in h^t$ with $t = (1-\alpha)$ ($0 \le \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$) and $t < 2m(1-\alpha) - 1/2$, chosen so that always $t > -m\alpha$. Also consider the sequence $(l(2n))_{n \ge 1}$,

$$l(2n) := \frac{1}{\pi^{2m}} \sum_{k \ne \pm n} \frac{v(n-k)}{n^m - k^m} \cdot \frac{v(n+k)}{n^m + k^m},$$

and notice that

$$\|l\|_{h^t} \le Const\, \|w\|_{h^t}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, uniformly on bounded sets of distributions in $h_0^{-m\alpha}$,*

$$\left(\min_{\pm} \left| \gamma_{mn} \pm 2\sqrt{(v+l)(-2n)(v+l)(2n)} \right| \right)_{n \ge 1} \in h^{m(1-2\alpha+\varepsilon)}.$$

Remark. An asymptotic estimate only involving v but not l is of the form

$$\left(\min_{\pm}\left|\gamma_{mn} \pm 2\sqrt{v(-2n)v(2n)}\right|\right)_{n\geq 1} \in \begin{cases} h^{m(1/2-\alpha)} & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; \\ h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)} & \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. To prove Proposition 3.4, consider for $n_* = n_*(\alpha, R)$ and $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \leq R$, the restriction A_{mn} of $D_m + B - \tau_{mn}$ to the Riesz space E_{mn}, $A_{mn} : E_{mn} \longrightarrow E_{mn}$. The eigenvalues of A_{mn} are $\lambda_n^{\pm} - \tau_{mn} = \pm\frac{\gamma_{mn}}{2}$, hence

$$\det(A_{mn}) = -(\frac{\gamma_{mn}}{2})^2.$$

We need the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ so that, for any $v \in h_0^{-m\alpha}$ with $\|v\|_{h^{-m\alpha}} \leq R$,*

$$\text{(i)} \qquad \|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq C \qquad \forall n \geq n_*;$$
$$\text{(ii)} \qquad \left(\|P_n - P_n^0\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)}\right)_{n\geq n_*} \in h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}.$$

Proof. Recall that, for $n \geq n_*$ $P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}(I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})^{-1} D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}\, d\lambda$ and

$$P_n - P_n^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}(I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})^{-1} S_{m\lambda} I_{m\lambda}^{-1} D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}\, d\lambda.$$

The claimed estimates then follow from (2.1) and Lemma 2.7. □

Choose, if necessery, n_* larger so that

$$\|P_n - P_n^0\|_{\mathcal{L}(h^0)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \qquad \forall\, n \geq n_*. \tag{3.2}$$

One verifies easily that $Q_{mn} := (P_n - P_n^0)^2$ commutes with P_n and P_n^0. Hence Q_{mn} leaves both Riesz spaces E_{mn} and E_{mn}^0 invariant. The operator Q_{mn} is used to define, for $n \geq n_*$, the restriction of the transformation operator $(Id - Q_{mn})^{-1/2}(P_n P_n^0 + (Id - P_n)(Id - P_n^0))$ to E_{mn}^0 (cf.[3]),

$$U_{mn} := (Id - Q_{mn})^{-1/2} P_n P_n^0 : E_{mn}^0 \longrightarrow E_{mn},$$

where $(Id - Q_{mn})^{-1/2}$ is given by the binomial formula

$$(Id - Q_{mn})^{-1/2} = \sum_{l\geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 \\ l \end{pmatrix} (-Q_{mn})^l. \tag{3.3}$$

One verifies that U_{mn} is invertible with the inverse given by

$$U_{mn}^{-1} := P_n^0 P_n (Id - Q_{mn})^{-1/2}. \tag{3.4}$$

As a consequence,

$$\det(U_{mn}^{-1} A_{mn} U_{mn}) = -(\frac{\gamma_{mn}}{2})^2.$$

To estimate $\det(U_{mn}^{-1} A_{mn} U_{mn})$, write

$$U_{mn}^{-1} A_{mn} U_{mn} = P_n^0 P_n A_{mn} P_n P_n^0 + R_{mn}^{(1)} + R_{mn}^{(2)},$$

where

$$R_{mn}^{(1)} := (U_{mn}^{-1} - P_n P_n^0) A_{mn} P_n P_n^0; \qquad R_{mn}^{(2)} := U_{mn}^{-1} A_{mn} (U_{mn} - P_n P_n^0).$$

The term $P_n^0 P_n A_{mn} P_n P_n^0 = P_n^0 P_n (D_m + B - \tau_{mn}) P_n^0$ is split up further,

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^0 P_n (D_m + B - \tau_{mn}) P_n^0 &= P_n^0 (D_m + B - \tau_{mn}) P_n^0 + P_n^0 (P_n - P_n^0)(D_m + B - \tau_{mn}) P_n^0 \\ &= P_n^0 B P_n^0 + T_{mn}^{(1)} + P_n^0 (P_n - P_n^0) B P_n^0 + R_{mn}^{(3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{mn}^{(1)}$ is a diagonal operator (use $D_{mn} P_n = n^{2m}\pi^{2m} P_n^0$)

$$T_{mn}^{(1)} := P_n^0 (n^{2m}\pi^{2m} - \tau_{mn}) P_n^0$$

and

$$R_{mn}^{(3)} := P_n^0 (P_n - P_n^0)(n^{2m}\pi^{2m} - \tau_{mn}) P_n^0.$$

As a 2×2 matrix, $B_n :== P_n^0 B = P_n^0$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_n(n,n) & B_n(n,-n) \\ B_n(-n,n) & B_n(-n,-n)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v(2n) \\ v(-2n) & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

To obtain a satisfactory estemate for $\det(U_{mn}^{-1}A_{mn}U_{mn})$, we have to substitute an expansion of $(P_n - P_n^0)$ into $P_n^0(P_n-P_n^0)BP_n^0$ and split the main term into a diagonal part $T_{mn}^{(2)}$ and an off-diagonal part. Let us explain this in more detail. Write

$$\begin{aligned} P_n - P_n^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} B (\lambda - D_m)^{-1}\, d\lambda \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} B (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} B (\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1}\, d\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$P_n^0(P_n - P_n^0)BP_n^0 = \mathcal{L}_{mn} + R_{mn}^{(4)},$$

where

$$R_{mn}^{(4)} := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} P_n^0 D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2} I_{m\lambda}^{-1} S_{m\lambda} I_{m\lambda}^{-1} S_{m\lambda} (I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})^{-1} S_{m\lambda} D_{m\lambda}^{1/2} P_n^0\, d\lambda$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{mn} := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} P_n^0 (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} B (\lambda - D_m)^{-1} B P_n^0\, d\lambda. \tag{3.5}$$

As a 2×2 matrix, $\mathcal{L}_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{mn}(n,n) & \mathcal{L}_{mn}(n,-n) \\ \mathcal{L}_{mn}(-n,n) & \mathcal{L}_{mn}(-n,-n)\end{pmatrix}$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{mn} = T_{mn}^{(2)} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l(2n) \\ l(-2n) & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where $T_{mn}^{(2)}$ is the diagonal part of $\mathcal{L}_{mn}$.

Combining the computation above, one obtains the following identity

$$U_{mn}^{-1}A_{mn}U_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (v+l)(2n) \\ (v+l)(-2n) & 0\end{pmatrix} + T_{mn} + R_{mn}, \tag{3.6}$$

where T_{mn} is the diagonal matrix $T_{mn} = T_{mn}^{(1)} + T_{mn}^{(2)}$ and R_{mn} is the sum $R_{mn} = \sum_{j=1}^4 R_{mn}^{(j)}$. The identity (3.6) leads to the following expression for the determinant

$$-\left(\frac{\gamma_{mn}}{2}\right)^2 = \det(U_{mn}^{-1}A_{mn}U_{mn}) = -(v+l)(2n)(v+l)(-2n) - r_{mn},$$

where the error r_{mn} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r_{mn} = -(T_{mn}(n,n) + R_{mn}(n,n))(T_{mn}(-n,-n) + R_{mn}(-n,-n)) \\ + (v+l)(2n)R_{mn}(-n,n) + (v+l)(-2n)R_{mn}(n,-n) + R_{mn}(-n,n)R_{mn}(n,-n).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\min_{\pm}\left|\frac{\gamma_{mn}}{2} \pm \sqrt{(v+l)(-2n)(v+l)(2n)}\right| \le |r_{mn}|^{1/2}.$$

To estimate r_{mn}, use that an entry of a matrix is bounded by its norm. Hence, for some universal constant $C>0$ and $n \ge n_*$,

$$|r_{mn}| \le C\left(\|T_{mn}\|^2 + \|R_{mn}\|^2 + \sum_{\pm} |(v+l)(\pm 2n)|\|R_{mn}\|\right), \tag{3.7}$$

where, for case of notation, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm on $\mathcal{L}(h^0)$. The terms on the right side of the inequality above are estimated separately. By Proposition 3.1,

$$\|T_{mn}^{(1)}\| = |n^{2m}\pi^{2m} - \tau_{mn}| = h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n).$$

As $\|T_{mn}^{(2)}\| = diag\,(\mathcal{L}_{mn}(n,n), \mathcal{L}_{mn}(-n,-n))$ we have $\|T_{mn}^{(2)}\| \le \|\mathcal{L}_{mn}\|$ and, by the definition (3.5) of $\mathcal{L}_{mn}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_{mn}\| = \|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} P_n^0 D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2} I_{m\lambda}^{-1} S_{m\lambda} I_{m\lambda}^{-1} S_{m\lambda} D_{m\lambda}^{1/2} P_n^0\, d\lambda\| \le \\ n^m \left(\sup_{\lambda\in\Gamma_n} \|D_{m\lambda}^{-1/2}\|\, \|S_{m\lambda}\|^2\, \|D_{m\lambda}^{1/2} P_n^0\|\right).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7 and (3.1) we then conclude

$$\|T^{(2)}_{mn}\| = h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n).$$

By the definition of $R^{(1)}_{mn}$,

$$\|R^{(1)}_{mn}\| \le \|U^{-1}_{mn} - P_n P^0_n\| \, \|(D_{mn} + B - \tau_{mn})P_n\| \, \|P^0_n\|.$$

We have $\|P^0_n\| = 1$ and

$$\|(D_{mn} + B - \tau_{mn})P_n\| = \|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} (\lambda - \tau_{mn})(\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1}\, d\lambda\| \le Cn^{m\alpha},$$

where for the last inequality we use Proposition 2.5 to deform the contour Γ_n to a circle $\Gamma_n^{'}$ of radius $Cn^{m\alpha}$ around $n^{2m}\pi^{2m}$ and the estimate $\|(\lambda - D_m - B)^{-1}\| = \|D^{1/2}_{m\lambda}(I_{m\lambda} - S_{m\lambda})^{-1}D^{1/2}_{m\lambda}\| \le Cn^{-m\alpha}\ \forall \lambda \in \Gamma_n^{'}$. By the formula (3.4) for U^{-1}_{mn}, we have, in view of the binomial formula (3.3) and the definition $Q_{mn} = (P_n - P^0_n)^2$,

$$\|U^{-1}_{mn} - P_n P^0_n\| \le \|P_n\| \, \|P^0_n\| \sum_{l\ge 1} \binom{-1/2}{l} \|P_n - P^0_n\|^l,$$

where for the last inequality we use lemma 3.5 (i) and the estimate $\|P_n - P^0_n\| \le \frac{1}{2}$ $(n \ge n_*)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.5 (ii),

$$\|R^{(1)}_{mn}\| \le Cn^{-m\alpha}\|P_n - P^0_n\|^2 = n^{m\alpha}(h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n))^2.$$

Similarly one shows

$$\|R^{(2)}_{mn}\| = n^{m\alpha}(h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n))^2.$$

In view of the definition $R^{(3)}_{mn}$,

$$\|R^{(3)}_{mn}\| \le C\|P_n - P^0_n\| \, |n^{2m}\pi^{2m} - \tau_{mn}| = n^{m\alpha}(h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n))^2,$$

where we use Lemma 3.5 to estimate $\|P_n - P^0_n\|$ and Proposition 3.1 to bound $|n^{2m}\pi^{2m} - \tau_{mn}|$. Finally, by by the definition of $R^{(4)}_{mn}$ and Lemma 2.6,

$$\|R^{(4)}_{mn}\| \le Cn^m\|S_{mn}\|^3 \le n^m(h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon/2)}(n))^3 \le n^{m\alpha}(h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n))^2.$$

Combining the obtained estimates one gets

$$\|R_{mn}\| = n^{m\alpha}(h^{m(1-\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n))^2, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\|T_{mn}\| = (h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n)). \tag{3.9}$$

As a consequence (i.e. $\|l\|_{h^t} \le Const\, \|w\|_{h^t}$, $t > -m\alpha$)

$$|(v + l)(\pm 2n)| \, \|R_{mn}\| = (h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n))^2 \tag{3.10}$$

and, in view of (3.5),

$$|r_{mn}|^{1/2} = h^{m(1-2\alpha-\varepsilon)}(n).$$

This proves Proposition 3.4. □

3.3. **Spectral Theory for 1-Periodic Distributions.** In this subsection we consider distributions $V \in H^{-m\alpha}_{per}[-1,1]$ which are of period 1, i.e. $\hat{V}(2k+1) = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $L_m := (-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$. As in the case of more regular potentials it holds

Proposition 3.6. *Let the λ_0, λ_1, λ_2, ... are eigenvalues of the differential operator L_m with the 1-periodic distribution $V \in H^{-m\alpha}_{per}[-1,1]$. Then there exists n_0 so that*

1. *The eigenvalues λ_{2n-1}, λ_{2n} for $n \ge n_0$ even are eigenvalues of the differential operator L_m when considered on the interval $[0,1]$ with periodic boundary conditions, i.e. with domain $\{f \in H^{m(2-\alpha)}_{per} \mid f(x+1) = f(x)\ \forall x\}$.*
2. *The eigenvalues λ_{2n-1}, λ_{2n} for $n \ge n_0$ odd are eigenvalues of the differential operator L_m when considered on the interval $[0,1]$ with antiperiodic boundary conditions, i.e. with domain $\{f \in H^{m(2-\alpha)}_{per} \mid f(x+1) = -f(x)\ \forall x\}$.*

Proof. This assertions follow from the fact that, for V of period 1, the operator $D_m + B$ (with $B = v*\cdot$ and $v(k) := \hat{V}(k)$) leaves the following two subspaces invariant

$$h_+^{-m\alpha} := \{a = (a(k))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \,|\, a(2k+1) = 0 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$
$$h_-^{-m\alpha} := \{a = (a(k))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \,|\, a(2k) = 0 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Hence, $spec(D_m + B) = spec^+(D_m + B) \cup spec^-(D_m + B)$ where $spec^{\pm}(D_m + B)$ denotes the spectrum of $D_m + B$ when restricted to $h_{\pm}^{-m\alpha}$. The claimed statement follows arguing as in proof Proposition 3.4, using that

$$spec^+(D_m) = \{(2n)^{2m}\pi^{2m} \,|\, n \geq 0\},$$
$$spec^-(D_m) = \{(2n+1)^{2m}\pi^{2m} \,|\, n \geq 0\}.$$

□

Proposition 2.4 can be used to characterize the regularity of real valued distribution of period 1 by the decay properties of $(\gamma_{mn})_{n\geq 1}$, as claimed in Corollary 1.2. First let us make the following small observation: Let V be a one-periodic distribution in $H_{per}^{-m\beta}$ for some $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then $V \in H_{per}^{-m\alpha}$ for any $\beta \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and the operator $(-1)^m d^{2m}/dx^{2m} + V$ can be viewed as an unbounded operator L_α on $H_{per}^{-m\alpha}$ with domain $H_{per}^{m(2-\alpha)}$.

Lemma 3.7. *The periodic spectrum of L_α coincides with the one of L_β for any $\beta \leq \alpha \leq 1$.*

Proof. Clearly, this is true for $V = 0$. To see that it holds for any arbitrary distribution, note that $spec(L_\beta) \subseteq spec(L_\alpha)$ as the domain of L_α is $H_{per}^{m(2-\alpha)}$ and, for any $\beta \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $H_{per}^{m(2-\beta)} \subseteq H_{per}^{m(2-\alpha)}$. Conversely, it is to be proven that any eigenfunction $g \in H_{per}^{m(2-\alpha)}$ of L_α is in fact an element in $H_{per}^{m(2-\beta)}$. For an eigenfunction g of L_α one has $Vg \in H_{per}^{-m\beta}$ by Lemma 4.1, hence

$$(-1)^m \frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} g = -Vg + \lambda g \in H_{per}^{-m\beta}.$$

Clearly, $\left((-1)^m \frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} + 1\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H_{per}^{-m\beta}, H_{per}^{m(2-\beta)}\right)$ and thus

$$g = \left((-1)^m \frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} + 1\right)^{-1} \left((-1)^m g^{(2m)} + g\right)^{-1} \in H_{per}^{m(2-\beta)},$$

as claimed. □

For convenience let us state Corollary 1.2 once more.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \beta < \alpha < 1$. Assume that the distribution $V \in H_{per}^{-m\alpha}$ is of period 1 and real valued (i.e. $\hat{V}(2k+1) = 0$, $\hat{V}(-k) = \overline{\hat{V}(k)} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Then $V \in H_{per}^{-m\beta}$ iff $(\gamma_{mn})_{n\geq 1} \in h^{-m\beta}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4 it follows from $V \in H_{per}^{-m\beta}$ that $(\gamma_{mn})_{n\geq 1} \in h^{-m\beta}$. Conversely, assume that $V \in H_{per}^{-m\alpha}$ and $(\gamma_{mn})_{n\geq 1} \in h^{-m\beta}$. In view of Proposition 2.4, there exists $\delta_* = \delta(\alpha) > 0$, decreasing in $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, such that

$$\left(\min_{\pm} \left|\gamma_{mn} \pm 2\sqrt{\hat{V}(-2n)\hat{V}(2n)}\right|\right)_{n\geq 1} \in h^{m(-\alpha+\delta_*)}. \tag{3.11}$$

Using that $\hat{V}(-k) = \overline{\hat{V}(k)}$ and $\gamma_{mn} \geq 0$, (3.11) becomes

$$\left(\gamma_{mn} - 2|\hat{V}(2n)|\right)_{n\geq 1} \in h^{m(-\alpha+\delta_*)}. \tag{3.12}$$

As $(\gamma_{mn})_{n\geq 1} \in h^{-m\beta}$, $\hat{V}(-k) = \overline{\hat{V}(k)} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\hat{V}(2n+1) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one concludes from (3.12) that

$$\left(\hat{V}(k)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in h^{m(-\alpha+\delta_1)},$$

where $\delta_1 := \min(\delta_*, \alpha - \beta)$. If $\alpha - \beta > \delta_*$, we can repeat the above argument. Taking into acount that $\delta(\alpha)$ is monotone decreasing, one gets

$$\left(\hat{V}(k)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in h^{m(-\alpha+\delta_*+\delta_1)},$$

where $\delta_2 := \min(\delta_*, \alpha - \beta - \delta_*)$. After finitely many steps, we get $\left(\hat{V}(k)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in h^{-m\beta}$, hence $V \in H_{per}^{-m\beta}$. □

4. APPENDIX: CONVOLUTION LEMMA

Given two sequences $a = (a(k))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $b = (b(k))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, the convolution product $a * b$ is formally defined as the sequence given by

$$(a * b)(k) := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} a(k-j)b(j).$$

Lemma 4.1 ([2]). *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s, r \geq 0$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq \min(s, r)$. If $s + r - t > 1/2$, than the convolution map is continuous (uniformly by in n) when viewed as a map $h^{r,n} \times h^{s,-n} \longrightarrow h^t$ as well as $h^{-t} \times h^{s,n} \longrightarrow h^{-r,n}$.*

REFERENCES

[1] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, Introductia to Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators, Nauka Publ., Moscow, 1965 (in Russian).
[2] T. Kappeler, C. Möhr, Estimates for Periodic and Dirichlet Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Operator with Singular Potentials, J. Func. Anal., **186**(2001) 62 91.
[3] T. Kato, "Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators", Springler-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1980.
[4] V. A. Marchenko, Sturm-Liouville Operators and Their Applications, Naukova Dumka Publ., Kiev, 1977 (in Russian); Engl., transl.: Birkkäser Verlag, Basel, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF NONLINEAR ANALYSIS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS NAS UKRAINE, TERESHCHENKIVSKA STR., 3, KYIV, UKRAINE, 01601

E-mail address: molyboga@imath.kiev.ua